

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

<p>ELAINE E. KOLLAR,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Plaintiff,</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>HOME DEPOT U.S.A., INC.,</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">Defendant.</p>	<p>Case No.: _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">DEFENDANT’S NOTICE OF REMOVAL</p>
---	--

Defendant Home Depot U.S.A., Inc. (referred to herein as “Home Depot”), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332, 1441 and 1446, by this Notice hereby removes this action from the District Court of Anoka County, Minnesota to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. As explained below, this Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 and 1441 et. seq. Complete diversity of citizenship exists between Plaintiff and Home Depot and it is evident from the Complaint, and upon information and belief, that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.

I. The State Court Action

Plaintiff Elaine E. Kollar commenced a civil action captioned *Elaine E. Kollar v. Home Depot U.S.A.*, in the District Court of Anoka County, Minnesota by serving a Complaint dated June 28, 2021 on Home Depot’s registered agent on June 28, 2021 (the “State Court Action”). A copy of Plaintiff’s Summons and Complaint are attached to this Notice and constitute all process, pleadings, and orders served or filed in the State Court Action. Consequently, Home Depot is filing this Notice within 30 days of the first date

on which it received a copy of the Complaint. Thus, the the removal is timely. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a copy of this Notice is also being served upon counsel for Plaintiff, and a copy is being filed with the District Court of Anoka County.

In the State Court Action, as set forth in the Complaint, Plaintiff claims she sustained personal injuries on or about May 4, 2021 when she fell, at the Home Depot retail store located in Fridley, Anoka County, Minnesota. (*See* Plaintiff’s Complaint, ¶ III). Plaintiff claims that Home Depot was negligent and seeks compensation for her injuries and damages.

II. REMOVAL IN THIS CASE IS PROPER

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 for the reasons set forth below:

a. Complete diversity exists: The following statements were true on both the date that Plaintiff served her Complaint (June 28, 2021) and the date that Home Depot filed its notice of removal (July 16, 2021):

1. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Minnesota (Plaintiff’s Complaint, ¶ I).
2. Defendant Home Depot is incorporated in the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business is in the State of Georgia. (*See* Plaintiff’s Complaint ¶ II) (“[Home Depot] is a foreign corporation...”).

b. The amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00. Based on the content of Plaintiff’s Complaint, Plaintiff’s refusal to irrevocably stipulate that her damages are less than \$75,000.00, and upon information and belief related to Plaintiff’s injuries and

damages, Home Depot believes in good faith that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of costs and interest.

Home Depot satisfies its burden to prove that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00 even though an amount of damages is not specified in Plaintiff's Complaint. *See Kaufman v. Costco Wholesale Corp.*, 571 F.Supp.2d 1061, 1063 (D. Minn. 2008) (citing *In re Minn. Mut. Life Ins. Co. Sales Practices Litig.*, 346 F.3d 830, 834 (8th Cir. 2003) (Where the Plaintiff does not allege a specific amount in the complaint, the removing party bears the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00). In the Eighth Circuit, the amount in controversy is measured by the "value to the plaintiff of the right sought to be enforced." *Advance Am. Servicing of Ark. V. McGinnis*, 526 F.3d 1170, 1173 (8th Cir. 2008). *See also Hartridge v. Aetna Cas. & Surety Co.*, 415 F.2d 809, 815 (8th Cir. 1969) (the amount in controversy is the amount that the complainant seeks to recover or the sum that defendant will lose if the complainant wins the suit). In other words, the amount in controversy is the amount that Plaintiff will seek from a jury in this matter.

Although Home Depot denies liability, Home Depot believes that Plaintiff will seek from a jury an amount greater than \$75,000.00. *See Schubert v. Auto Owners Ins. Co.*, 649 F.3d 817, 821 (8th Cir. 2011) (quoting *In re Reisenberg*, 208 U.S. 90, 107-08 (1908)) ("Jurisdiction does not depend upon the fact that the defendant denies the existence of the claim made, or its amount or validity"). Plaintiff claims that she suffered "severe and permanent injuries in mind and body" as a result of the subject incident including face, eye, and orbital injuries. She further claims that her damages include past

and future medical expenses. Such damages are “routinely” considered by courts in determining whether the amount in controversy threshold is met. *See Raymond v. Lane Constr. Corp.*, 527 F. Supp.2d 156, 163 (D. Maine 2007).

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Home Depot U.S.A., Inc., hereby removes the State Court Action to this Honorable Court.

NILAN JOHNSON LEWIS PA

Dated: July 16, 2021

By: /s/ David J. Warden
David J. Warden (MN Reg. No. 393474)
250 Marquette Avenue South, Suite 800
Minneapolis, MN 55401
Telephone: 612-305-7500
dwarden@nilanjohnson.com

LEDERER WESTON CRAIG PLC

Benjamin M. Weston (Application for admission forthcoming)
4401 Westown Parkway, Suite 212
West Des Moines, IA 50266
Phone: (515) 224-3911
E-mail: bweston@lwclawyers.com

***ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT
HOME DEPOT, U.S.A., INC.***